Correlation of Collagen X Biomarker (CXM) with Peak Height Velocity and Radiographic Measures of Growth in Idiopathic Scoliosis

Michelle Welborn, MD; Ryan Coghlan, MS; Susan Sienko, PhD; William Horton, MD / 2018 ICEOS

Prediction of Growth

- Growth velocity and the ability to predict remaining growth profoundly impacts all areas of pediatrics
 - Changes in growth velocity can be used as a surrogate for health status
 - Within spine deformity quantification of remaining growth and growth velocity can:
 - predict progression of spine deformity
 - Dictate treatment algorithm's including observation vs bracing vs growth friendly constructs vs guided growth vs fusion techniques



Current techniques for assessing growth:

- Current techniques are inadequate:
 - Anthropometric techniques are retrospective and have significant measurement error
 - Radiographic measures range from inaccurate (Risser score) to outdated (Greulich and Pyle) to overly complicated (TW3).
 - Risser score has been found to be less accurate than chronologic age
 - Sanders score is the most precise and accurate, but continues to have a large SE and is not accurate at assessing cessation of growth
 - Accurate assessment of growth is critical. Compared to Sanders score, Risser score may result in the mistreatment of 1 in 4 AIS patients treated with bracing
 - The problem: scoring systems are based on population data and are not patient specific.



So what about non-radiographic, patient specific methods?

- Sanders et al, looked at numerous hormones and growth factors:
 - Growth factors:
 - insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1
 - IGF binding protein-3
 - Hormones:
 - dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate
 - estradiol
 - Bone specific factors:
 - bone-specific alkaline phosphatase
 - osteocalcin levels
 - only estradiol and IGF-1 were found to be discriminatory and only if used in combination with Tanner stages and the appearance of the epiphyses on a skeletal age radiographs
 - Problem: not specific for linear bone growth, high degree of variability,



Developing a patient specific marker of bone growth: CXM

- Marker had to be specific for bone growth->
 - Collagen X (COLX) is produced in the physis during enchondral ossification
 - Enchondral ossification is the mechanism of longitudinal bone growth not appositional bone growth
- Had to be easily measureable
 - CXM is a stable degradation product of COLX found in serum measureable in dried blood spots





CXM serum concentrations plotted against age and growth velocity





- Type X collagen is produced in the growing physis during enchondral ossification.
- CXM is a breakdown product from type X collagen that can be measured in serum.
- Theoretically higher levels of CXM would correlate with rapid longitudinal bone growth while lower CXM levels with growth cessation.
- The purpose of this study is to evaluate the correlation of CXM with growth and the radiographic measures of growth.



Methods

Criteria for Enrollment	Research Protocol:		
Inclusion criteria:	Clinical:	Radiographic	Biomarker
 Idiopathic scoliosis Cobb >20 degrees Age 7-15 at start of 	 Q 6mo visits standing height, sitting height, arm span, ulnar length and weight For female patients, the age at menarche will be recorded. 	 standing PA and lateral EOS at initial visit standing PA EOS at subsequent visit Risser score recorded Hands positioned to obtain Sanders and TW3 scores Major Cobb, T1-S1 height and spine length recorded 	 DBS collected at home w/i 1 hour of waking three consecutive days every 1-2 months based on Sanders Score
 Exclusion criteria: Non-idiopathic scoliosis Prior surgery Pregnancy Significant medical comorbidities that may affect rate of growth 			

Results:

- 32 patients were consecutively enrolled
 - Ave age 11.89 years (7.08-14.51)
 - 8 boys, 24 girls
 - 1396 samples collected
- Each sample measured in quadruplicate and assessed for internal reproducibility
 - Within plate ICC: 0.988-0.994
 - Between plate ICC: 0.932





NIH serum data vs CXM data

1396 DBS samples in 32 idiopathic scoliosis patients plotted against NIH population data demonstrating high degree of correlation within one patient and the high degree of variation between patients of the same age. (Male patients were indicated in shades of blue)



CXM vs established markers of growth:

CXM levels were statistically significantly correlated with all established measures of growth P value <0.05

- Risser score p=0.009,
- TW3 p=0.000,
- Sanders Score p=0.000
- Change in height p=0.042,
- Change in arm span p=.026
- Change in ulnar length p=0.046.



CXM levels plotted against Sanders score: CXM levels obtained the week following radiographic measurement of Sanders score demonstrating the higher degree of variability of CXM at more rapid stages of growth vs Sanders Score

Conclusion

- Each patient follows their own growth curve, these curves are similar in pattern but have different rates and durations of growth and occur at different times. These individual patterns of growth profoundly impact the treatment of the growing patient
- CXM is a measure of enchondral ossification and thus has the potential to be a patient specific marker of longitudinal bone growth
 - Early results indicate that CXM is:
 - highly reproducible with a low standard error
 - statistically correlated to the established measures of growth



References:

1. Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis, Navigating Your Journey: A Guide for You and Your Family. Harms Study Group.

- 2. Karol LA, Virostek D, Felton K, Jo C, Butler L. The Effect of the Risser Stage on Bracing Outcome in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2016 Aug 3;98(15):1253-9.
- 3. Sanders JO, Khoury JG, Kishan S, et al. Predicting scoliosis progression from skeletal maturity: a simplified classification during adolescence. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008 Mar;90(3):540-53.

4. Escalada F, Marco E, Duarte E, et al. Growth and curve stabilization in girls with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2005 Feb 15;30(4):411-7.

- 5. Lonstein JE, Carlson JM. The prediction of curve progression in untreated idiopathic scoliosis during growth. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1984 Sep;66(7):1061-71.
- 6. Ylikoski M. Growth and progression of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis in girls. J Pediatr Orthop B. 2005 Sep;14(5):320-4.
- 7. Karol, L.A., Early definitive spinal fusion in young children: what we have learned. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2011. 469(5): p. 1323-9.

8. Newton, P.O., et al., Results of preoperative pulmonary function testing of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis. A study of six hundred and thirty-one patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 2005. 87(9): p. 1937-46.

9. Sanders JO, Browne RH, Cooney TE, Finegold DN, McConnell SJ, Margraf SA. Correlates of the peak height velocity in girls with idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2006 Sep 15;31(20):2289-95.

- 10. Little DG, Song KM, Katz D, Herring JA. Relationship of peak height velocity to other maturity indicators in idiopathic scoliosis in girls. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2000 May;82(5):685-93.
- 11. Gauld LM, Kappers J, Carlin JB, Robertson CF. Height prediction from ulna length. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2004 Jul;46(7):475-80.

12. Forman MR, Zhu Y, Hernandez LM, et al. Arm span and ulnar length are reliable and accurate estimates of recumbent length and height in a multiethnic population of infants and children under 6 years of age. J Nutr. 2014 Sep;144(9):1480-7.

- 13. Duyar I P. Estimating body height from ulna length: need of a population-specific formula.
- 14. Little DG, Sussman MD. The Risser sign: a critical analysis. J Pediatr Orthop. 1994 Sep-Oct;14(5):569-75
- 15. Satoh M. Bone age: assessment methods and clinical applications. Clin Pediatr Endocrinol. 2015 Oct;24(4):143-52.
- 16. Tanner JM WR, Cameron N, Marshall WA, Healy MJ, Goldstein H. . Assessment of skeletal maturity and prediction of adult height (TW2 method). . Press A, editor. London1983.

17. Wang WW, Xia CW, Zhu F, et al. Correlation of Risser sign, radiographs of hand and wrist with the histological grade of iliac crest apophysis in girls with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2009 Aug 1;34(17):1849-54.26

18. Wang S, Qiu Y, Ma Z, Xia C, Zhu F, Zhu Z. Histologic, risser sign, and digital skeletal age evaluation for residual spine growth potential in Chinese female idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2007 Jul 1;32(15):1648-54.

19. Sauvegrain J, Nahum H, Bronstein H. [Study of bone maturation of the elbow]. Ann Radiol (Paris). 1962;5:542-50. French.

20. Modi HN, Modi CH, Suh SW, Yang JH, Hong JY. Correlation and comparison of Risser sign versus bone age determination (TW3) between children with and without scoliosis in Korean population. J Orthop Surg Res. 2009 Sep 20;4:36.

- Sanders JO, Browne RH, Cooney TE, Finegold DN, McConnell SJ, Margraf SA. Correlates of the peak height velocity in girls with idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2006 Sep 15;31(20):2289-95.
 Roye BD, Wright ML, Matsumoto H, et al. An Independent Evaluation of the Validity of a DNA-Based Prognostic Test for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2015 Dec 16;97(24):1994-8.
- 23. Dimeglio A, Charles YP, Daures JP, De Rosa V, Kabore B. Method in Determining Skeletal Age During Puberty. JBJS. 20005 Aug:87-A(8): 1689-96
- 24. Buscher I, Gerver WJM, Kingma I, Wapstra FH, Verkerke GJ, Veldhuizen AG. The growth of different body length dimensions is not predictive for the Peak Growth Velocity of Sitting Height in the individual child. Eur Spine J. 2010 Oct 9;20:791-797.
- 25. Anas Minkara B, Nicole Bainton, CPNP, Masashi Tanaka, MD, Justin Kung, BS, Christopher DeAllie, BS, Alexandra Khaleel, BA, Hiroko Matsumoto, MA, Michael Vitale, MD, MPH, and Benjamin Roye, MD, MPH. High Risk of Mismatch Between Sanders and Risser Staging in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis: Are We Guiding Treatment Using the Wrong Classification? J Pediatr Orthop. 2018;epub ahead of print.