

Correlation between surgical site infection(SSI) and Classification of early onset scoliosis(C-EOS) in patients managed by rib-based distraction instrumentation (VEPTR)

Mahmoud A. H. Mahmoud, MD ; Patrick J. Cahill, MD; Aaron Tatad, MPH ; Robert M. Campbell, MD ; John M. Flynn, MD

> The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Division of Orthopedics The Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania



Please address correspondence to John M. Flynn, MD at: orthoresearch@email.chop.edu

Background

- Classification systems can be useful tools for surgeons to recommend treatment and compare outcomes.
- Williams et al described the Classification for Early Onset Scoilosis (C-EOS) and schemed it according to etiology, Major curve, kyphosis and annual progressing ratio.
- The interobserver and intraobserver reliability for C-EOS was proved to be excellent (Cyr, M., et al).



VEPTR lengthening



Methods

- Single institution retrospective study between 2013 2017
- Infection was defined on the basis of the center for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines
- Recorded patients' major categories of Early Onset Scoliosis classification (etiology, major curve and kyphosis), BMI, height, weight, procedure type, site of procedure, tracheostomy and diaper use



VEPTR implantation in index Chest: AP(a) and Lat(b)



Results

- 156 EOS patients underwent 843 VEPTR procedures
- 35(42 procedures) of 156 (22.4%) patients developed infection
- Neither C-EOS categories (etiology, major curve, kyphosis), BMI nor tracheostomy use were associated with infection rate.
- Neuromuscular etiology had the highest infection rate. Hyper
 - kyphotic patients (>50°) experienced infection rate more than

normo and hypokyphoticones. High scoliotic curve (>90°)

showed infection rate higher than the other curves. Table (1)



- Type of procedure was significantly associated with infection rate, with implantation in index chest having the highest incidence (P=0.006).
- Diaper use approached significance (p=0.051). Infection rates were higher in shorter and lighter children (P=0.001 and 0.03; respectively).
- Neuromuscular /hyper kyphotic class had infection rate higher than Neuromuscular / normo kyphotic curve; regardless the scoliotic curve (8.3%, 3.9%).
- Congenital/hyper kyphotic class showed infection rate higher than norm kyphotic or hypo kyphotic respectively; regardless scoliotic curve (8.7% vs 4.6% and 5.6%; respectively).
- Hypo kyphotic /syndromic class experienced higher infection rates than hyper or normo kyphotic respectively; regardless of scoliotic curve (50% vs 7.5% and 7.7%; respectively).Table(2)



Table(1)

1-C-EOS etiology					0.86
Congenital	19	365	384	4.9	
Idiopathic	1	38	39	2.6	
Neuromuscular	12	197	209	5.7	
Syndromic	10	201	211	4.7	
2-C-EOS major curve (degree)					0.11
1	7	95	102	6.9	
2	11	252	263	4.2	
3	20	429	449	4.5	
4	4	25	29	13.8	
3-C-EOS kyphosis (degree)					0.10
+	17	209	226	7.5	
Ν	20	438	458	4.4	
-	5	154	159	3.1	



	Class		Infection group(n=42)	No infection group(n=801)	%		
Table(2)	+NM1	3		5	37.50	+NM =8.3%	
	+NM2	1		14	6.67		
	+NM3	3		74	3.90		
	+NM4	2		7	22.22		
	NNM2	1		21	4.50	NNM=3.9%	
	NNM3	2		53	3.64		
	NC1	2		12	14.29	NC=4.6%	
	NC2	2		58	3.33		
	NC3	7		168	4.00		
	+C3	3		37	7.50	+C=8.7%	
	+C4	1		5	16.67		
	C1	1		17	5.56	C=5.6%	
	C2	2		31	6.06		
	C3	1		19	5.00		
	NS2	3		38	7.32	NS=7.7%	
	NS3	2		22	8.33		
	+S1	1		0	100	+S=7.5%	
	+S2	1		23	4.17		
	+S3	2		26	7.14		
	S4	1		1	50.0	S4=50%	
	NI2	1		25	3.85	NI2=3.6%	
	Total	42		656			

GH

Conclusions

• Neuromuscular etiology, high major curve and high kyphotic angle, are at increased risk of infection.

Special considerations should be taken to specific subtypes like:
Syndromic/20°-50° scoliosis/hyperkyphosis (S1+) – 100% (1/1)
Syndromic/>90° scoliosis/hypokyphosis (S4) — 50% (1/2)
Neuromuscular/<20° scoliosis/hyperkyphotic (NM1+) – 37.5%(3/8)
Neuromuscular/ > 90° scoliosis/ hyperkyphosis(NM4+) –22.2%(2/9)
Congenital/> 90° scoliosis/ hyperkyphosis(C4+) –16.7%(1/6)
Congenital/< 20° scoliosis / normal kyphosis (C1N) –14.3%(2/14).

• Such information potentiate the usefulness of C-EOS in surgical decision making and in the informed consent process.

