Anterior instrumentation and correction of congenital spinal deformities under age of four without hemivertebrectomy. *A new alternative* 

Hazem Elsebaie, Wael Koptan, Yasser ElMiligui, Mootaz Salah, Hilali Noordeen

Presenting Author:

H B ELSEBAIE MD FRCS Ass Prof Orthopedics Cairo University Hospital Hemivertebra is the most frequent cause of congenital scoliosis.

Early surgery is the treatment for progressive cases. The type and time of surgery depends on various factors: *Site, Type, Severity, Progression . McMaster et al JBJS 1982* 

A recent interest in posterior instrumentation with hemivertebra excision in the very young age (under 5 years) led to encouraging results: -Anterior and posterior. Lazar and Hall Cl Orth and Rel Res 1999

-Posterior only Ruf and Harms Spine 2003

### The new alternative:

### **Anterior approach**

- -Partial anterior corpectomy of the hemivertebra leaving
- the posterior cortex, pedicle and
- without exposing the dura
- -Anterior instrumentation

- -Posterior approach insitu fusion is done to achieve
- for circumferential fusion



- Operative Technique
- 1. Discectomies
- 2. Partial corpectomy
- 3. Aggressive release of concave tether

3

5

4. Screw insertion



7. Screws compression



## Rationale:

\*Avoids epidural bleeding (opening the spinal canal)

\*Decrease incidence neurological complications (exposing dura, posterior instrumentation)

\*Avoids problems related to pedicle screws in very young:

- technically demanding
- time consuming
- some implant failures
- prominence of posterior constructs
- effect on spinal canal !!

#### Advantages:

Excision, correction and instrumentation at site of pathology
Direct compression of the graft by anterior instrumentation
More radical discectomy and end plate preparation
Anterior concave strut graft can be put under vision

#### Concerns:

-Hold of the screws in small cartilaginous vertebral bodies
-Amount of correction while leaving the posterior cortex intact
-Compression of nerve roots on convex side
-Ability to correct sagittal plane deformity
-Adding on and junctional kyphosis

## Methods

## Between 2002 and 2005 Abulreish Pediatric Hospital Cairo Egypt

11 patients single levelhemivertebra4 male 7 female



Average age at surgery 31 months (21 month to 46 months)

Mean follow up 36 months (24 - 53)

## Methods

Preoperative Xray and MRI

Same sitting anterior then posterior surgery (Anterior partial corpectomy, instrumented correction and fusion with posterior uninstrumented fusion) Downsize monoaxial top loading screws

Average op duration 120 mins Average blood loss 150 mls Brace for 3-6 month



Xray postoperatively, 3, 6, 12, 24 months

# Results

Average coronal Cobb angle preoperatively 48

Average angle post op 16 Percentage of correction 66%

Average sagittal angle preop 20 Average angle post op 10 Percentage of correction 50%



## Complications

1 adding on 1 junctional kyphus No neurological complications No implant related complications

## Discussion

R.D.Lazar, and J.E.Hall , cl.orth.& rel.res. 1999 M.Ruf and J. Harms, Spine 2003

	Ant/Post Hemi exc &post inst	Post Hemi exc &post inst (2003)	Present Study (2007)		Hemi exc &post inst (1999)	Post Hemi exc &post inst (2003)	Present Study (2007)
No. of Patients	11	25	11	%Scoliosis Correction	77	72	<u>66</u>
Avg age Blood loss	18ms	40ms 469	31ms 150	%kyphosis correction	40	63	<u>50</u>
Op time		225	<i>120</i>	No of deep infection	none		<u>none</u>
				No of implant failure	none		none
				No.of neur compl	1	none	none









Girl 22 months 2 nonadjacent hemi Proximal one treated

Post op

57



2 years

Girl 3y 6m Double adjacent hemivertebra L2 L3

61

18

RT.LATERAL

71

20

#### Conclusion

This technique is a safe and effective alternative for surgical treatment of hemivertebra.

It avoids the risks and disadvantages of complete excision and pedicle screws instrumentation with comparable efficacy.

Yet we need to wait for a longer follow up and CT scan would be helpful to assess the effect on spinal canal.