Decision Making on Connectors

David L. Skaggs, MD

Professor and Chief Children's Hospital Los Angeles University of Southern California Endowed Chair of Pediatric Spinal Disorders







Disclosure

Consultant: Biomet, Medtronic, StrykerRoyalties: Textbooks





CENTER

Decision Making on Connectors 1. Sagittal Contour 2. Prominance 3. Strength of Connectors

3. Strength of Connectors





Straight Longitudinal Connector





Straight Longitudinal 260 Connector Post Op 4 yrs post op Thorac J Bend Rods Connector Thoraco-Lumba WE T HILDREN'S PAEDIC CENTER

Lengthening Through Curved Rods

- More Posterior Prominence
- More Kyphosis







CENTER

Lengthening Through Curved Rods

- More Posterior Prominence
- More Kyphosis















From Charlie Johnston



Lengthening Through Curved Rods

- More Kyphosis
- + Sagittal Balance

4 yo Same Patient

7 уо



USC University of Southern California



CHILDREN'S ORTHOPAEDIC CENTER

10

Bent Rods, Tandem Connector



Bent Rods, Tandem Connector

If single connector - use V-lock connector!

BAD -prominent rod

Good - both rods bent





CHILDREN'S

Bent Rods, 2 Tandem Connectors



Benefit - Stronger

Challenge

- Bigger or two incisions
- leave one open without screw





Prominence



Transverse Connectors at top prominent



Current Technique



2 Connector Failures and 1 Broken Rod



Some Connectors in Distraction Based Growing Rods Fail More Than Others

Christopher Lee, BS; Karen S. Myung, MD, PhD; Behrooz Akbarnia, MD, David L. Skaggs, MD





Methods

- 30 consecutive patients
- distraction-based growing rods.
- Only connectors subject to distractive forces included.
- minimum 2 year follow-up





Results

• Total of 12% failure =12/98 connectors:

 Mean time to failure = after 3rd lengthening







Single Tandem Connector Failure

Pre Op



Post Op



7 months Later



CHILDREN'S ORTHOPAEDIC CENTER

2 Types of Interface with Rods

<u>Circular Lock</u> Older design 41% failure rate V-shape Lock Previously patent protected 4% failure rate



CHILDREN'S ORTHOPAEDIC CENTER

Type of Connector	Failure Rate (n, %)	Connectors
<u>Circular Lock</u> - Medtronic® Wedding Band	7/17, 41%	100
Stryker [®] , Open	3/8, 38%	
<u>V shaped Lock -</u> Medtronic [®] and Isola [®]	1/27,4%	
Longitudinal Medtronic® 2 screws Isola® 1 screws	1/34, 3%	

28% less T1-S1 Growth if connector failure

- Connector Failure = 6.5 mm/yr - No failure = 9.0 mm/yr - (p>0.05) but underpowered

-Seems logical that if distraction is not present, spine growth not driven





Summary - Sagittal

- Curved Rods/Connectors become prominent and more kyphotic over time
- Straight Connectors At T-L Junction



Summary: Connector Failure

<u>Longitudinal</u> Few Failures

Tandem: V-shape Lock Rarely fail





3 point fixation yof



CHILDREN'S ORTHOPAEDIC CENTEI



Thank You







CHILDREN'S ORTHOPAEDIC CENTER



Hypothesis

• Some connectors fail more than others.



better than









ENTER

Background

- Distraction-based growing rods have ~2 complications per patient¹
- No study has examined connector failures in growing rod constructs.



Connector Failures

- Dual-rod connectors vs. single-rod connectors:
 - Dual-rod connectors: 32% failure (6/19 constructs)
 Single-rod connectors: 43% failure (6/14 constructs)
 No difference in failure rates (p=0.38).



Age of initial instrumentation may matter

- >5 years old: 0 connector failures
- Greater complications in general reported in patients treated with growing rods at younger age^{2,3}





Take Home Lesson: Uselongitudinal connectors or side-toside connectors with V- locks













CHILDREN'S ORTHOPAEDIC CENTER

Sagittal Contouring

