### Sublaminar Wires in Growing Constructs for EOS with Severe Deformity Effective in Diminishing Proximal Anchor Pullout

Anna McClung BSN, RN, Charles E Johnston MD, Brandon A Ramo MD, Daniel J Sucato MD, MS





### Disclosures

- Anna McClung None
- Charles E Johnston A: SRS, OREF; F: Medtronic
- Brandon A Ramo
  None
- Daniel J Sucato
  None





### Introduction

- Implant failure anticipated complication with growth friendly surgery
- Kyphoscoliotic deformity more challenging to maintain proximal anchors (Schoerlucke et al 2012)
- Limited literature comparing common anchor choices of hooks or screws (Skaggs et al 2010)
- No literature comparing use of sublaminar wires as adjunct or most proximal anchor





## Objectives

Examine use of sublaminar wires as most proximal anchor or adjunct anchor in comparison to use of hooks or screws in diminishing proximal anchor pullout







### Methods

- Single Institution IRB approved retrospective review prospective consecutive series in comparison to a multi-center study group database
- Any patient treated with a spine based growth friendly construct where the proximal anchor could be a hook, screw (H&S) and/or sublaminar wire (SW) and 2 years of follow-up
- Medical record review
  - Number of lengthenings
  - Length of follow-up
  - Incidence of Proximal inplant pullout (PIP)

#### • Radiographic review

- Major Cobb





Thoracic Height



# Demographic Results

- Patients: 11 SW (6.1±2.5yrs), 202 H&S (6.4±2.5yrs)
- SW specifics
  - Adjunct to hook or screw 6 (54.5%)
  - Upper anchor claw construct 5 (45.4%)
  - Salvage after failed hook or screw 6 (54.5%)
  - Index anchor 5 (45.4%)







# Preoperative Radiographic

	H&S	SW	p-Value
Pre Major Cobb	$76.7 \pm 21.2^{\circ}$	$95.5 \pm 20.0^{\circ}$	.0115
Pre Major Kyphosis	$57.0 \pm 28.9^{\circ}$	$75.5 \pm 23.9^{\circ}$	.0334
Pre Thoracic Height	157.2±36.6mm	135.3±26.5mm	.0262
Major Cobb % Correction	46.8±17.0%	34.5±13.6%	.0145
Major Kyphosis %	$31.3 \pm 46.7\%$	$14.8 \pm 41.0\%$	.2421



# Surgical Data

	H&S	SW	p-Value
Number of Lengthenings	$4.5 \pm 2.7$	$4.8 \pm 2.7$	.7682
Years of Follow-up	$4.5 \pm 2.4$	$5.0 \pm 1.6$	.3849





## Proximal Anchor Pullout

#### • H&S constructs 11.9% (24/202)

- Hook 60.9%
- Screw 34.83%
- BOTH 4.3%

#### • SW construct 9.1% (1/11)







### **Preoperative Radiographic**

	H&S Fail	SW	p-Value	
Pre Major Cobb	$79.5 \pm 14.7^{\circ}$	$95.5 \pm 20.0^{\circ}$	.0315	
HS Failures 24/202 vs. All SW 11/11				
Pre Thoracic Height	155.1±17.1mm	135.3±26.5mm	.0524	
Major Cobb % Correction	$42.8 \pm 11.10\%$	34.5±13.6%	.0955	
Major Kyphosis % Correction	$13.4 \pm 35.4\%$	$14.8 \pm 41.0\%$	.932	



## Surgical Data

### HS Failures 24/202 vs. All SW 11/11

Index Surgery	0.1 - 2.1	0.1 - 2.3	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Number of Lengthenings	$4.7 \pm 2.8$	$4.8 \pm 2.7$	.8706
Years of Follow-up	$4.8 \pm 2.4$	$5.0 \pm 1.6$	.7845





## Case Example







### Limitations

### Limitations

- Small series
- Retrospective nature





### Conclusions

- Sublaminar wires had a similar rate of proximal implant failure compared to hooks or screws alone, despite greater kyphoscoliotic deformity
- In patients with severe kyphoscolitic deformity surgeons should consider use of wires as an adjunct or most proximal implant





## Thank You

