## Does the "Law of Diminishing Returns" apply to guided growth Shilla constructs?

LINDSAY M. ANDRAS MD HALEH BADKOOBEHI MD ALEXANDER BROOM BA LYNN MCCULLOUGH RNP RICHARD MCCARTHY MD DAVID L. SKAGGS MD GROWING SPINE STUDY GROUP

USC University of Southern California



CHILDREN'S ORTHOPAEDIC CENTER

## Disclosures

Lindsay Andras, MD-None а. b. Consultant Haleh Badkoobehi, MD-None Alexander Broom, BA-None Lynn McCullough, RNP-None Richard McCarthy, MD Medtronic (b,d,e) David L. Skaggs, MD POSNA (a); SRS (a); Biomet (b, d); Medtronic (b, d, e, patent holder); Stryker (d); Wolters Kluwer Health -Lippincott Williams & Wilkins (e)

- Grants/Research Support
- c. Stock/Shareholder
- d. Speakers' Bureau
- Other Financial Support

J**SC**University of

Southern California







CHILDREN'S ORTHOPAEDIC CENTER

## Background Distraction Based Growing Rods: Law of Diminishing Returns



## Background

- Prior comparison of Shilla to Growing Rods showed greater increase in T1-S1 length in Growing Rod patients
- No study has examined whether the "law of diminishing returns" occurs in Shilla patients



USC University of Southern California



CHILDREN'S DRTHOPAEDIC CENTER



## Study purpose

To determine if the "law of diminishing returns" also occurs in guided growth Shilla constructs





## **Materials and Methods**

Multicenter retrospective review

Inclusion criteria:
Diagnosis of early onset scoliosis
Guided growth Shilla construct
Minimum two year follow up

Exclusion criteria:
Prior instrumentation
Index procedure <u>></u> 10 yo



## **Materials and Methods**

- T1-S1 length was measured on upright radiographs preoperatively, postoperatively and at annual intervals
- Nonparametric analysis of variance and linear regression performed

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

USC University of Southern California

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

- 33 patients met inclusion criteria
- Mean age at index instrumentation was 6.0 years (range 2.0 to 9.9)
- Mean follow up was 4.8 years (range 2 to 8)

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Mean gain of 4.7 cm in T1-S1 length on postoperative radiographs from preoperative values
- At 1 yr, 73% (24/33) showed a decrease in T1-S1 length from postoperative values
- Mean T1-S1 length at 1 year was 1.4 cm less than postoperatively

#### Change in T1-S1 Length in mm

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### outhern California

J**SC**University of

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Change in T1-S1 Length in mm

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Increase in T1-S1 length was statistically significant for years 2 through 5 (p=0.003, p=0.02, p=0.006, p=0.005)
- Similar increase was observed in years 6 through 8 but was limited by the small number of pts

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

USC University of Southern California

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Change in T1-S1 between year 1(a decrease) and year 2 was significantly different (p=0.0003)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

# NO significant difference in the change in T1-S1 overtime for subsequent years (p=0.21, 0.79, 0.78, 0.31, 0.91, 0.27)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Discussion

**Study Limitations** 

- majority of patients have not reached skeletal maturity or had a definitive fusion
- Retrospective
- Multicenter study
- Small number of patients

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Conclusion

- With mean follow up of 5 years, annual increases in T1-S1 length were sustained
- The "law of diminishing returns" does not appear to affect Shilla constructs in the same manner
- Longer term follow up is needed to determine if this is truly a "law of continuing returns"

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)