

The Outcomes of Mehta Casting for Non-Idiopathic Early Onset Scoliosis in a Large Multi-Center Cohort

Joshua Pahys MD,¹ Graham Fedorak MD,² Bruce MacWilliams PhD,² Joel Lerman MD,³ Michal Szczodry MD,³ Kim Hammerberg MD,⁴ Pete Stasikelis MD⁵

1. Shriners Hospital for Children, Philadelphia, PA
2. Shriners Hospital for Children, Salt Lake City, UT
3. Shriners Hospital for Children, Sacramento, CA
4. Shriners Hospital for Children, Chicago, IL
5. Shriners Hospital for Children, Greenville, SC

Background

- Serial Mehta body casting has been shown to improve and even cure 30%-70% of patients with infantile idiopathic scoliosis (Mehta 2005, Iorio 2017, Stasikelis 2018, Fedorak 2019)
- Baulesh JPO 2012
 - Delay in surgery for nonidiopathic scoliosis of 2 years with serial casting
- Demirkiran JPO 2015
 - Effective delay tactic in congenital scoliosis
 - “Long curves with multiple anomalous vertebrae”
 - Serial casting delayed surgical intervention 26.3 months

Purpose

- The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy for Mehta body casting for non-idiopathic early onset scoliosis (NI-EOS)



Methods

- Multicenter retrospective review of all patients with non-idiopathic early onset scoliosis (NI-EOS) treated with Mehta body casting
 - Inclusion criteria:
 - Minimum coronal Cobb angle $>20^{\circ}$
 - Minimum two year follow-up *after* casting treatment completed
 - Timeframe: 2000-2016
 - **75 NI-EOS patients identified**
- Precast, first in-cast, first out of cast, and last follow-up spine radiographs reviewed

Results

- Mean age at initial cast: 3 years
 - <2 years: 40% (n=30)
 - 2-3 years: 24% (n=18)
 - 3-4 years: 15% (n=11)
 - >4 years: 21% (n=16)
- Patient Diagnosis
 - Syndromic: 68% (n=51)
 - Congenital: 17% (n=13)
 - Neuromuscular: 15% (n=11)

****No significant difference in precast age, BMI, or curve magnitude amongst the diagnoses**

Results

Radiographic Data

	Pre-Cast	Latest Followup	P value
Age (mean)	2.9 years (range: 0.7-9)	9.8 years (range: 5-15)	
Followup (mean)		5.0 years (range: 2-11)	
Coronal Cobb (mean)	57° (range: 20°-101°)	45° (range: 4°-110°)	>0.5
% Cobb correction		9% (range: -98% to +93%)	>0.5
Rib Vertebral Angle Difference (RVAD)	32° (range: 0°-118°)	24° (range: 0°-70°)	>0.5

Results

Age at initial cast

Pre Cast age:	<2 years	2-3 years	3-4 years	>4 years	P value
Pre-Cast Cobb	59 ⁰	49 ⁰	52 ⁰	63 ⁰	>0.5
Pre-Cast BMI	15.8	16.3	15.0	15.2	>0.5
Pre-Cast RVAD	38 ⁰	23 ⁰	30 ⁰	33 ⁰	>0.5
Initial In-Cast Cobb	33 ⁰	38 ⁰	38 ⁰	48 ⁰	>0.5
Initial In-Cast RVAD	27 ⁰	20 ⁰	29 ⁰	28 ⁰	>0.5
Final Coronal Cobb	46 ⁰	40 ⁰	50 ⁰	53 ⁰	>0.5
Final % Correction	16%	7%	-14%	16%	>0.5

Results

Age at initial cast

Pre Cast age:	<2 years	2-3 years	3-4 years	>4 years	P value
Pre-Cast Cobb	59 ⁰	49 ⁰	52 ⁰	63 ⁰	>0.5
Pre-Cast BMI	15.8	16.3	15.0	15.2	>0.5
Pre-Cast RVAD	38 ⁰	23 ⁰	30 ⁰	33 ⁰	>0.5
Initial In-Cast Cobb	33 ⁰	38 ⁰	38 ⁰	48 ⁰	>0.5
Initial In-Cast RVAD	27 ⁰	20 ⁰	29 ⁰	28 ⁰	>0.5
Final Coronal Cobb	46 ⁰	40 ⁰	50 ⁰	53 ⁰	>0.5
Final % Correction	16%	7%	-14%	16%	>0.5

Results

Age at initial cast

Pre Cast age:	<2 years	2-3 years	3-4 years	>4 years	P value
Pre-Cast Cobb	59 ⁰	49 ⁰	52 ⁰	63 ⁰	>0.5
Pre-Cast BMI	15.8	16.3	15.0	15.2	>0.5
Pre-Cast RVAD	38 ⁰	23 ⁰	30 ⁰	33 ⁰	>0.5
Initial In-Cast Cobb	33 ⁰	38 ⁰	38 ⁰	48 ⁰	>0.5
Initial In-Cast RVAD	27 ⁰	20 ⁰	29 ⁰	28 ⁰	>0.5
Final Coronal Cobb	46 ⁰	40 ⁰	50 ⁰	53 ⁰	>0.5
Final % Correction	16%	7%	-14%	16%	>0.5

Results at latest followup

- **“Cured”**: 9% (n=7)
 - curve < 15° at latest followup
- **Improvement > 20°**: 15% (n=11)
- **Cobb > 50°**: 65% (n=49)
 - 33/49 (67%) required surgery (44% of cohort)
 - Surgery mean age of 7.5 years

Conclusion

- Mehta casting achieved limited success with curing or significantly improving non-idiopathic EOS
- Final Cobb $>50^{\circ}$ in 65%; 44% required surgery
- Age at initial cast not a factor in outcomes
- Casting in NI-EOS more of a delay tactic

Next Steps

- Currently further reviewing an even larger cohort of NI-EOS patients and their various diagnoses
- Goal to determine if specific etiologies have a better/worse response to serial casting
- Critical analysis of specific time points during treatment to better delineate the most effective duration of casting

Thank you!